arXiv:1504.02969v1 [math.PR] 12 Apr 2015

Series Representation of Time-Stable Stochastic Processes

Christoph Kopp Ilya Molchanov

April 14, 2015

Abstract

A stochastically continuous process $\xi(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is said to be time-stable if the sum of n i. i. d. copies of ξ equals in distribution to the time-scaled stochastic process $\xi(nt)$, $t \geq 0$. The paper advances the understanding of time-stable processes by means of their LePage series representations.

1 Introduction

The (strict) stability property of stochastic processes is conventionally defined by requiring that the sum of i. i. d. copies of a process is distributed as the scaled variant of the original process. An alternative scaling operation applied to the time argument leads to another definition of stability.

Definition 1.1. A stochastically continuous real-valued process $\xi(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is said to be *time-stable* if, for each $n \geq 2$,

$$\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} n \circ \xi\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ are i. i. d. copies of ξ, $\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim}$ means the equality of all finite-dimensional distributions and $(n \circ \xi)(t) = \xi(nt)$, $t \geq 0$, is the process obtained by time scaling ξ.

Definition 1.1 goes back to Mansuy [18], where processes satisfying (1), regardless of their stochastic continuity, are called *infinitely divisible with respect to time* (IDT). Indeed, they are infinitely divisible in the sense that ξ can be represented as the sum of n i. i. d. processes for each $n \geq 2$. However, the time-stable name better emphasises the particular stability feature of such processes. These processes have been recently investigated in [8] and [12], also with a multivariate time argument. Time-stable processes with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be defined similarly to Definition 1.1.

The major difficulty in the analysis of time-stable processes stems from the necessity to work with the whole paths of the processes. The time stability concept cannot be formulated in terms of finite-dimensional distributions at any given time moments, since the time argument in the right-hand side of (1) is scaled.

Definition 1.1 can be modified to introduce α-time-stable processes as

$$\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} n^{1/\alpha} \circ \xi\,,$$

where each $\alpha \neq 0$ is possible. This concept appears in [6, Ex. 8.12] as an example of the stability property in the cone of continuous functions with scaling applied to the argument. While such processes (for general α) have been considered in [11], the process $\xi(t^{1/\alpha})$, $t \geq 0$, obtained by time change is time-stable (with $\alpha = 1$) and so it is not necessary to study α-time stability for general $\alpha \neq 1$.

Another closely related concept is that of a *dilatively stable process* ζ that satisfies the following scaling relation for some $\alpha > 0$, $\delta \in (0, 2\alpha]$, and all $n \geq 2$:

$$\zeta_1 + \cdots + \zeta_n \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} n^{1/2-\alpha/\delta}(n^{1/\delta} \circ \zeta)\,,$$

see [13], where such processes are also assumed to possess moments of all orders. If ζ is dilatively stable, then $\xi(t) = t^{1/2-\alpha/\delta}\zeta(t^{1/\delta})$, $t \geq 0$, satisfies (1) and so is a time-stable process if it is stochastically continuous.

Barczy et al. [1] defined (ρ_1, ρ_2)-*aggregate self-similar processes* ζ by the following scaling relation

$$\zeta_1 + \cdots + \zeta_n \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} n^{\rho_1}(n^{-\rho_2} \circ \zeta)\,,$$

so that for $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ one recovers the aggregate similar process from [15]. It is easy to see that $t^{\rho_1}\zeta(t^{-\rho_2})$, $t \geq 0$, satisfies (1), so that this and other above mentioned generalisations may be obtained by time and scale change from time-stable processes. A similar concept was studied by Penrose [21], who called a stochastic process ξ *semi-min-stable* if $\min(\xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_n(t))$ shares the finite-dimensional distributions with $n^{-1}\xi(n^{\alpha}t)$, $t \geq 0$.

Section 2 discusses elementary properties and examples of time-stable processes. The infinite divisibility of such processes makes it possible to use their spectral representation obtained in [14] and then show that the Lévy measure is homogeneous with respect to time scaling, see Section 3. The main result of Section 4 and of the whole paper is the LePage representation of time-stable processes whose Lévy measures are supported by the family of right-continuous functions with left limits. In particular, this is the case for non-negative processes. The obtained LePage representation yields the series representations for dilatively stable and aggregate self-similar processes. The structure of pure jump time-stable processes is closely related to the stability property of marked point processes; in this case the LePage representation is similar to the cluster representation of infinitely divisible point processes, see Section 5.

The concept of time stability allows generalisations in various directions. The necessary structure consists of a time set which is invariant under scaling by arbitrary positive real numbers and an associative and commutative binary operation which is applied pointwisely to the values of processes. For instance, the definition applies also to stochastic processes defined on the whole line and on $\mathbb{R}^d$ or with addition replaced by the maximum operation.

While (1) actually defines a *strictly* time-stable stochastic process, the stability concept can be relaxed by replacing the right hand side with $n \circ \xi + f_n$ for deterministic functions $\{f_n\}$. Furthermore, it is possible to consider random measures stable with respect to scaling of their argument (see [6, Ex. 8.23]) and generalised stochastic processes (i. e. random generalised functions).

2 Elementary properties and examples

The following result provides an alternative definition of time-stable processes.

Proposition 2.1. *A stochastically continuous process $\xi(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is time-stable if and only if*

$$a \circ \xi_1 + b \circ \xi_2 \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} (a+b) \circ \xi \tag{2}$$

for all $a, b > 0$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent copies of ξ.

Proof. The sufficiency is evident. The necessity is first shown for positive rational a, b and then for all positive a, b by approximation using the stochastic continuity assumption. $\square$

Each Lévy process is time-stable. If ξ is time-stable, then it is infinitely divisible, so that there always exists the unique Lévy process $\tilde{\xi}$, called the *associated Lévy process* of ξ, such that $\tilde{\xi}(1)$ coincides in distribution with $\xi(1)$. Since ξ is stochastically continuous, $\tilde{\xi}(t)$ coincides in distribution with $\xi(t)$ for each given t (see [18, Prop. 4.1]), so that the characteristic function of $\xi(t)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E} \exp\{\imath\lambda\xi(t)\} = \exp\{-t\Psi(\lambda)\}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

where Ψ denotes the cumulant of $\tilde{\xi}$. In view of this, Ψ is also called the cumulant of ξ. The higher order finite-dimensional distributions of ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ may differ.

It follows from (3) that $\xi(t)$ weakly converges to 0 as $t \downarrow 0$, which corresponds to the stochastic continuity of ξ, since $\xi(0) = 0$ a.s. by (1). The only a.s. constant time stable process is the zero process.

Comparing the one-dimensional distributions shows that if the non-degenerate time-stable process is a.s. non-negative for any $t \geq 0$, then it is a.s. non-negative everywhere, its one-dimensional distributions are increasing in the stochastic order, and $\sup_{t \geq 0} \xi(t)$ is a.s. infinite. In contrast to Lévy processes, non-negative time-stable processes need not be a.s. monotone, see Example 2.3.

Theorem 2.2. *A time-stable process ξ is identically distributed as the sum of a linear function, a centred Gaussian process with the covariance function $C(t, s)$ that satisfies $C(ut, us) = uC(t, s)$ for all $t, s, u \geq 0$, and an independent time-stable process without Gaussian component.*

Proof. Since ξ is infinitely divisible, its finite-dimensional distributions are infinitely divisible. The rest follows by comparing the Lévy triplets of the n-fold convolution of $(\xi(t_1), \dots, \xi(t_k))$ and of $(\xi(nt_1), \dots, \xi(nt_k))$ for any $t_1, \dots, t_k \geq 0$ and $k, n \geq 1$. $\square$

Various characterisations of Gaussian time-stable processes are presented in [18]. In the following we mostly consider time-stable processes without a Gaussian part.

Example 2.3 (cf. [18])**.** Let ξ be a time-stable process. For $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0$,

$$\tilde{\xi}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \xi(ts_i), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a time-stable process. For instance, if $N(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a homogeneous Poisson process, then $N(2t) - N(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a non-monotonic a.s. non-negative time-stable process with the standard Poisson process as its associated Lévy process.

Example 2.4 (cf. [18])**.** Let ζ be a strictly α-stable random variable with $\alpha \in (0,2]$. Then $\xi(t) = t^{1/\alpha}\zeta$, $t \geq 0$, is a time-stable stochastic process. In particular, if ζ is normally distributed, then $\xi(t) = \sqrt{t}\zeta$ is time-stable. If $\alpha = 1$, then $\xi(t) = \zeta t$ for the Cauchy random variable ζ. This yields a time-stable process with stationary increments, which is not a Lévy process. This construction can be generalised by letting

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i t^{1/\alpha_i}\zeta_i\,, \quad t \geq 0\,, \tag{4}$$

for constants $c_1, \dots, c_m$ and independent random variables $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m$ that are strictly stable with parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. The independence condition can be relaxed if the vector $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$ is multi-stable meaning that the sum of its n i. i. d. copies is distributed as $(n^{1/\alpha_1}\zeta_1, \dots, n^{1/\alpha_m}\zeta_m)$ for every $n \geq 2$.

The following construction is similar to the construction of sub-stable random elements, see [25, Sec. 1.3].

Example 2.5 (Sub-stable processes)**.** Consider the process $X(t) = \xi(t^{1/\alpha}\zeta)$, $t \geq 0$, where ξ is time-stable and ζ is an independent of ξ positive strictly α-stable random variable with $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Conditioning on i. i. d. copies ζ_1, ζ_2 of ζ and using Proposition 2.1, we obtain that for i. i. d. copies X_1, X_2 of X and any $a, b > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ X_1(t) + b \circ X_2(t) &= \xi_1(a^{1/\alpha}\zeta_1 t^{1/\alpha}) + \xi_2(b^{1/\alpha}\zeta_2 t^{1/\alpha}) \\ &\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} \xi((a^{1/\alpha}\zeta_1 + b^{1/\alpha}\zeta_2)\, t^{1/\alpha}) \\ &\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} \xi((a+b)^{1/\alpha}\zeta t^{1/\alpha}) = (a+b) \circ X(t)\,, \quad t \geq 0\,, \end{aligned}$$

showing the time stability of X.

Example 2.6 (Subordination by time-stable processes)**.** Let X be a Lévy process and let ξ be an a. s. non-decreasing independent of X time-stable process. Then $X(\xi(t))$ is also time-stable. This fact was proved using characteristic functions in [8, Th. 3.6]. The famous variance gamma process used in finance (see, e. g. [17]) appears if $X = W$ is the Brownian motion and $\xi(t)$ is the gamma subordinator. The construction from Example 2.3 suggests further variants of the variance gamma process, e. g. $W(\xi(t)+\xi(2t))$.

A stochastic process ξ is called *H-self-similar* if for any $c > 0$

$$c \circ \xi \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} c^H \xi, \tag{5}$$

where $H > 0$ is called the *Hurst index* or self-similarity index. A time-stable process is strictly α-stable if and only if it is $1/\alpha$-self-similar, see [8, Prop. 3.1]. By [25, Th. 7.5.4], any time-stable process, which is also α-stable with $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and has stationary increments, is a Lévy process.

Example 2.7 (Sub-Gaussian time-stable process)**.** Each Gaussian time-stable process is $\frac{1}{2}$-self-similar. Therefore, a fractional Brownian motion $\eta(t)$, $t \geq 0$, with Hurst index $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is not time-stable. Since a Gaussian self-similar process with stationary increments is necessarily a fractional Brownian motion, see [7, Th. 1.3.3], the only Gaussian time-stable process with stationary increments is the Brownian motion. It is possible to obtain a sub-Gaussian time-stable process as

$$\xi(t) = Z^{1/2}\eta(t^{1/(2\alpha H)}), \qquad t \geq 0\,,$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and Z is an a. s. positive α-stable random variable independent of η. In particular, if $H = 1/(2\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$, then $\xi(t) = Z^{1/2}\eta(t)$ and if $H = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\xi(t) = Z^{1/2}\eta(t^{1/\alpha})$ for the Brownian motion η.

3 Lévy measures of time-stable processes

The time stability property of the process ξ implies its infinite divisibility. Each stochastically continuous process satisfies Condition S from [25], which requires the existence of an at most countable set $T_0 \subset [0,\infty)$ such that for all $t \geq 0$, there exists a sequence $t_n \in T_0$, $n \geq 1$, such that $\xi(t_n)$ converges to $\xi(t)$ in probability. The spectral representation of infinitely divisible stochastic processes that satisfy Condition S and do not possess a Gaussian component is obtained in [14, Th. 2.14] using a Poisson process on a certain space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F})$ with a σ-finite measure μ. Reformulating this result for $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F})$ being the space $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ with the cylindrical σ-algebra $\mathfrak{C}$ yields that an infinitely divisible stochastically continuous process ξ admits a spectral representation

$$\xi(t) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} c(t) + \int f(t) d\Pi_Q(f)\,, \tag{6}$$

where c is a deterministic function and $\Pi_Q = \{f_i(t) : \ i \geq 1\}$ is the Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)} \setminus \{0\}$ with a σ-finite intensity measure Q such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}\setminus\{0\}} \min(1, f(t)^2) Q(df) < \infty \tag{7}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. The measure Q is called the *Lévy measure* of ξ. The integral with respect to Π_Q in (6) is defined as the a. s. existing limit of the compensated sums

$$\lim_{r\downarrow 0} \left[\sum_{f_i \in \Pi_Q} f_i(t) \mathbf{1}_{|f_i(t)|>r} - \int_{\{f\colon |f(t)|>r\}} L(f(t)) Q(df) \right]\,, \tag{8}$$

where

$$L(u) = \begin{cases} u, & |u| \leq 1\,, \\ 1, & u > 1\,, \\ -1, & u < -1\,, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

is a Lévy function, see also [19].

Furthermore, [14, Th. 2.14] ensures the existence of a *minimal* spectral representation, meaning that the σ-algebra generated by $\{f : \ f(t) \in A\}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and Borel $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ coincides with the cylindrical σ-algebra $\mathfrak{C}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ up to Q-null sets and there is no set $B \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $Q(B) > 0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$, $Q(\{f \in B : \ f(t) \neq 0\}) = 0$. In the following assume that the σ-algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ is complete with respect to Q. By [14, Th. 2.17]), the minimal spectral representation is unique up to an isomorphism, and so the Lévy measure is well defined.

The stochastic continuity of ξ yields that ξ has a measurable modification, see [10, Th. 3.3.1]. Then [14, Prop. 2.19] establishes that the representation (6) involves a measurable function $c(t)$, $t \geq 0$, and that the functions f can be chosen to be strongly separable. The latter means that there exists a measurable null-set $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ and a countable set $\mathbb{Q} \subset [0,\infty)$ (called a separant) such that, for each open $G \subset [0,\infty)$ and closed $F \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\{f:\ f(t) \in F\ \forall t \in G \cap \mathbb{Q}\} \setminus \{f:\ f(t) \in F\ \forall t \in G\} \subset \Omega_0\,. \tag{10}$$

The process ξ is symmetric, i. e. ξ coincides in distribution with $-\xi$, if and only if its Lévy measure Q is symmetric and c identically vanishes. Then the compensating second term in (8) vanishes for every $r > 0$.

If (7) is strengthened to require

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}\setminus\{0\}} \min(1, |f(t)|) Q(df) < \infty\,, \tag{11}$$

then the integral (6) is well defined without taking the limit and without the compensating term in (8), so that

$$\xi(t) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} c(t) + \sum_{f_i \in \Pi_Q} f_i(t) \tag{12}$$

for a deterministic function c. It is well known that (11) holds if $\xi(t)$ is a. s. non-negative for all $t \geq 0$, see e. g. [26, Th. 51.1].

Representation (12) shows that if the process is monotone (resp. non-negative), then the measure Q is supported by monotone (resp. non-negative) functions.

Lemma 3.1. *For each Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$ and $s > 0$, the set $s \circ B = \{s \circ f:\ f \in B\}$ is also Borel in $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$.*

Proof. The set $s \circ B$ is Borel if B is a cylinder, and the statement follows from the monotone class argument. ☐

The next result follows from the fact that $\xi(0) = 0$ a. s. for a time-stable process ξ.

Lemma 3.2. *The Lévy measure of a time-stable process is supported by $\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)} \setminus \{0\} : f(0) = 0\}$.*

Lemma 3.3. *An infinitely divisible stochastically continuous process ξ without a Gaussian component is time-stable if and only if $c(t) = bt$, $t \geq 0$, is a linear function for a constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and the Lévy measure Q satisfies*

$$Q(s \circ B) = s^{-1} Q(B), \qquad s > 0\,, \tag{13}$$

for all Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$.

Proof. The sufficiency follows because the finite-dimensional distributions of ξ admit the characteristic function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\exp\{\imath\sum_j \theta_j\xi(t_j)\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\imath b\sum_j \theta_j t_j + \int \left[e^{\imath\sum_j \theta_j f(t_j)} - 1 - \imath\sum_j \theta_j L(f(t_j))\right] Q(df)\right\}. \quad (14)\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that ξ is time-stable. Comparing the characteristic functions of the finite-dimensional distributions for the processes in the left and right-hand side of (2) shows that the function c is linear.

The Lévy measure corresponding to the minimal spectral representation of the process in the left-hand side of (2) is $Q(a^{-1}\circ B) + Q(b^{-1}\circ B)$. In view of the uniqueness of the minimal spectral representation [14, Th. 2.17], the Lévy measures of the processes in the left and right-hand sides of (2) coincide. Thus

$$Q(a^{-1}\circ B) + Q(b^{-1}\circ B) = Q((a+b)^{-1}\circ B)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ and all Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$. Given that Q is non-negative, [3, Th. 1.1.7] yields that $Q(s^{-1}\circ B)$ is a linear function of s, i. e. (13) holds. □

The same scaling property for the Lévy measure appears in [18, Lemma 5.1] and later on was reproduced in [11, Prop. 4.1] for time-stable processes with paths in the Skorokhod space of right-continuous functions with left limits (càdlàg functions). The proof there is however incomplete, since it is not shown that the Lévy measure of such a process is supported by càdlàg functions.

Proposition 3.4. *If $\xi(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a time-stable càdlàg process with a. s. non-negative values, then its Lévy measure Q is supported by càdlàg functions.*

Proof. In this case the Lévy measure Q satisfies (11) and so ξ admits the representation (12). If ξ' is an independent copy of ξ, then $\xi - \xi'$ is symmetric and has the series decomposition with the Lévy measure supported by càdlàg functions, see [22, Th. 4]. The support of Q is a subset of the support of the Lévy measure for $\xi - \xi'$. □

4 LePage series representation

In finite-dimensional spaces, Lévy measures of strictly stable laws admit a polar decomposition into the product of a radial and a finite directional part and the corresponding sum (if necessary compensated) of points of the Poisson process is known as the LePage series, see [25, Cor. 3.10.4] and [16, 23]. The LePage series can be defined in general spaces [6], where they provide a rich source of stable laws and in many cases characterise stable laws. However, the general conditions of [6] do not hold for the case of time-stable processes.

The following result provides the LePage series characterisation for time-stable processes without a Gaussian part and whose Lévy measure is supported by the family $\mathbb{D}'$ of not identically vanishing càdlàg functions on $[0,\infty)$. We endow the family $\mathbb{D}'$ with the topology and the σ-algebra induced from $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$. Let $\mathbb{D}'_0$ be the family of not identically vanishing càdlàg functions that vanish at the origin.

Theorem 4.1. *The following statements are equivalent for a stochastically continuous process* $\xi(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

- *i) The process* ξ *is time-stable without a Gaussian part and with the Lévy measure* Q *supported by* $\mathbb{D}'$.
- *ii) The stochastic process* ξ *is infinitely divisible without a Gaussian part, with a deterministic linear part, its Lévy measure* Q *is supported by* $\mathbb{D}'_0$, *and*

$$Q(B) = \int_0^\infty \sigma(t \circ B) dt \tag{15}$$

for all Borel sets $B \subset \mathbb{D}'_0$ *and a probability measure* σ *on* $\mathbb{D}'_0$ *such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}'_0} \int_0^\infty \min(1, f(t)^2) t^{-2} dt \sigma(df) < \infty\,. \tag{16}$$

- *iii) The stochastic process* ξ *has the same distribution as*

$$ct + \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left[\sum_{i=1}^\infty \varepsilon_i(\Gamma_i^{-1} t) \mathbf{1}_{|\varepsilon_i(\Gamma_i^{-1} t)| > r} - \mathbf{E} \int_0^\infty L(\varepsilon(s^{-1}t)) \mathbf{1}_{|\varepsilon(s^{-1}t)| > r}\, ds \right], \quad t \geq 0\,, \tag{17}$$

where the limit exists almost surely, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ *is a constant,* L *is defined as in* (9) *and* $\{\varepsilon_i, i \geq 1\}$ *is a sequence of i. i. d. stochastic processes distributed as* ε, *where* ε *a. s. takes values in* $\mathbb{D}'_0$,

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^\infty \min(1, \varepsilon(t)^2) t^{-2} dt < \infty\,, \tag{18}$$

and $\{\Gamma_i, i \geq 1\}$ *is the sequence of successive points of a homogeneous unit intensity Poisson process on* $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, a time-stable process can be alternatively described as an infinitely divisible stochastically continuous process whose Lévy measure Q satisfies (13). It is obvious that Q given by (15) satisfies (13). It remains to show that the scaling property (13) yields (15), so that (i) implies (ii).

The following construction is motivated by the argument used to prove [9, Th. 10.3]. By Lemma 3.2, Q is supported by $\mathbb{D}'_0$. Decompose $\mathbb{D}'_0$ into the union of disjoint sets

$$\mathbb{X}_0 = \{f : \sup_{t \geq 0} |f(t)| > 1\}\,,$$

and

$$\mathbb{X}_k = \{f : \sup_{t \geq 0} |f(t)| \in (2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}]\}\,, \quad k \geq 1\,.$$

Recall the separant $\mathbb{Q}$ and the exceptional set Ω_0 from (10). Since

$$\mathbb{X}_0^c = \{f : |f(t)| \leq 1\,, t \in [0, \infty)\}\,,$$

we have that

$$\mathbb{X}_0 \setminus \{f:\ \sup_{t\in\mathbb{Q}} |f(t)| > 1\} \subset \Omega_0\,.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{X}_k \setminus \{f:\ \sup_{t\in\mathbb{Q}} |f(t)| \in (2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}]\} \subset \Omega_0$$

for all $k \geq 1$. In view of the completeness assumption on the σ-algebra, all sets $\mathbb{X}_k$, $k \geq 0$, are measurable.

For each $k \geq 0$, define the Borel map $\tau_k : \mathbb{X}_k \to (0,\infty)$ by

$$\tau_k(f) = \inf\{t > 0:\ |f(t)| > 2^{-k}\}\,, \quad f \in \mathbb{X}_k\,.$$

Since all functions from $\mathbb{D}'_0$ vanish at the origin, $\tau_k(f)$ is strictly positive and finite, and $\tau_k(c \circ f) = c^{-1}\tau_k(f)$ for all $c > 0$. Let

$$\mathbb{S}_k = \{f \in \mathbb{X}_k:\ \tau_k(f) = 1\}\,.$$

Then $|f(1)| \geq 2^{-k}$ for all $f \in \mathbb{S}_k$, $k \geq 0$, and each function $g \in \mathbb{X}_k$ can be uniquely represented as $s \circ f$ for $f \in \mathbb{S}_k$ and $s > 0$. The maps $(f,s) \mapsto s \circ f$ and $g \mapsto (\tau_k(g) \circ g, \tau_k(g)^{-1})$ are mutually inverse Borel bijections between $\mathbb{S}_k \times (0,\infty)$ and $\mathbb{X}_k$. This is seen by restricting f onto a countable dense set $\mathbb{Q}$ in $[0,\infty)$ and applying Lemma 3.1.

Since $f \in \mathbb{S}_k$ is right-continuous,

$$\Delta_k(f) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q}:\ |f(s)| > 2^{-k-1} \text{ for all } s \in [1, 1+t]\}$$

is strictly positive and measurable for each $k \geq 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}_{k0} &= \{f \in \mathbb{S}_k:\ \Delta_k(f) > 1\}\,,\\
\mathbb{S}_{kj} &= \{f \in \mathbb{S}_k:\ \Delta_k(f) \in (2^{-j}, 2^{-j+1}]\}\,, \quad j \geq 1\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{S}_k$ is the disjoint union of $\mathbb{S}_{kj}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{X}_k$ is the disjoint union of

$$\mathbb{X}_{kj} = \{s \circ f:\ f \in \mathbb{S}_{kj},\ s > 0\}\,, \quad j \geq 0\,.$$

Fix any $k, j \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{kj} &= Q(\{s \circ f:\ f \in \mathbb{S}_{kj},\ s \in [1, 1+2^{-j}]\})\\
&\leq Q(\{f \in \mathbb{D}'_0:\ |f(1)| \geq 2^{-k-1}\})\\
&\leq 2^{2k+2} \int_{\{f:\,|f(1)|\geq 2^{-k-1}\}} \min(1, f(1)^2) Q(df)\\
&\leq 2^{2k+2} \int \min(1, f(1)^2) Q(df) < \infty\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q(\{s \circ f : f \in \mathbb{S}_{kj},\ s \geq 1\})\\
&\qquad \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q(\{s \circ f:\ f \in \mathbb{S}_{kj},\ s \in [(1+2^{-j})^i,\ (1+2^{-j})^{i+1}]\})\\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1+2^{-j})^{-i} q_{kj} < \infty\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Q restricted onto $\mathbb{X}_{kj}$ is a push-forward under the map $(f,s)\to s\circ f$ of the product $\eta_{kj}\otimes\theta$ of a finite measure η_{kj} supported by $\mathbb{S}_{kj}$ and the measure θ on $(0,\infty)$ with density $s^{-2}ds$. Let c_{kj} be some positive number, then the measure σ_{kj} defined on $\mathbb{D}'_0$ by $\sigma_{kj}(B)=c_{kj}\eta_{kj}(c_{kj}^{-1}\circ B)$ assigns all its mass to the set $c_{kj}\circ\mathbb{S}_{kj}$. Then the push-forward of $\sigma_{kj}\otimes\theta$ under the map $(f,s)\to s\circ f$ is Q restricted on $\mathbb{X}_{kj}$ and the total mass of σ_{kj} equals $c_{kj}\eta_{kj}(\mathbb{S}_{kj})$. By choosing c_{kj} appropriately, it is always possible to achieve that $\sigma=\sum_{k,j\geq0}\sigma_{kj}$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{D}'_0$. Combining the push-forward representations of Q restricted to $\mathbb{X}_{kj}$, $k,j\geq0$, we see that Q is the push-forward of $\sigma\otimes\theta$ and so (15) holds. Given (15), (16) is equivalent to (7).

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is immediate by choosing ε to be i. i. d. with distribution σ and noticing that (16) is equivalent to (18) and that the limit in (17) corresponds to the limit in (8). ☐

Remark 4.2. There are many probability measure σ that satisfy (15), and so the distribution of ε in (17) is not unique. For example, it is possible to scale the arguments of $\{\varepsilon_i, i\geq1\}$ by a sequence of i. i. d. positive random variables of mean one. The measure σ constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.1 is supported by the set $\mathbb{S}\subset\mathbb{D}'$ such that if f and $c\circ f$ with $c>0$ both belong to $\mathbb{S}$, then $c=1$. The distribution of ε is unique if ε is supported by a measurable set $\mathbb{S}'\subset\mathbb{D}'_0$ such that each $f\in\mathbb{D}'_0$ can be uniquely represented as $c\circ g$ for $c>0$ and $g\in\mathbb{S}'$.

Remark 4.3. It follows from [2, Th. 3.1] that the LePage series (17) converges uniformly for t from any compact subset of $(0,\infty)$. If $H(t,r,V)=\varepsilon(t/r)$, then Condition (3.3) of [2] becomes

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}\{(\varepsilon(t_1/r),\dots,\varepsilon(t_k/r))\in B\}dr = Q(\{f:\ (f(t_1),\dots,f(t_k))\in B\})$$

for all Borel B in $\mathbb{R}^k\setminus\{0\}$, $t_1,\dots,t_k$, and $k\geq1$.

Theorem 4.4. *A stochastically continuous stochastic process ξ is time-stable without a Gaussian part and with the Lévy measure Q supported by $\mathbb{D}'$ and satisfying* (11) *if and only if*

$$\xi(t)\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} ct+\sum_{i=1}^\infty \varepsilon_i(\Gamma_i^{-1}t)\,,\quad t\geq0\,, \tag{19}$$

where the series converges almost surely, c is a constant, $\{\varepsilon_i, i\geq1\}$ is a sequence of i. i. d. stochastic processes with realisations in $\mathbb{D}'_0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\int_0^\infty \min(1,|\varepsilon(t)|)t^{-2}dt<\infty\,, \tag{20}$$

and $\{\Gamma_i, i\geq1\}$ is the sequence of successive points of the homogeneous unit intensity Poisson process on $[0,\infty)$.

Proof. It suffices to note that (20) is equivalent to (11). ☐

Remark 4.5. Condition (20) (respectively (18)) holds if $\int_0^1\mathbf{E}|\varepsilon(t)|t^{-2}dt<\infty$ (respectively $\int_0^1\mathbf{E}(\varepsilon(t)^2)t^{-2}dt<\infty$).

Remark 4.6. The time-stable process given by (19) has the cumulant

$$\Psi(\lambda) = -\imath\lambda c + \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E}(1 - e^{\imath\lambda\varepsilon(s)})s^{-2}ds\,.$$

Corollary 4.7. *Each a. s. non-negative càdlàg time-stable process admits the LePage representation* (19)*.*

Remark 4.8. Analogues of the above results hold for time-stable processes with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. This can be shown by replacing $\mathbb{S}_{kj}$ from the proof of Theorem 4.1 with the Cartesian product of d-tuples of such sets $\mathbb{S}^1_{k_1j_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^d_{k_dj_d}$, $k_i, j_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, constructed for each of the coordinates of the process. In particular, Corollary 4.7 applies for time-stable processes with values in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$.

Example 4.9 (Lévy processes)**.** The spectral representation of a Lévy process ξ without a Gaussian part can be obtained by setting $f_i(t) = m_i\mathbf{1}_{t\geq\tau_i}$, where $\{(\tau_i, m_i),\ i \geq 1\}$ is a marked Poisson process on $(0,\infty) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ with intensity measure being the product of the Lebesgue measure and a Lévy measure Λ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, then

$$\xi(t) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} ct + \lim_{r\downarrow 0}\left[\sum_{|x_i|>r} x_i\mathbf{1}_{t_i\leq t} - t\int_{|x|>r} L(x)\Lambda(dx)\right],$$

which is the classical decomposition of a Lévy process. In view of the uniqueness of the minimal spectral representation, the Lévy measure Q is supported by step functions of the type $m\mathbf{1}_{t\geq\tau}$. By Theorem 4.1, ξ admits the series decomposition (17) with $\varepsilon(t) = \eta\mathbf{1}_{t\zeta\geq 1}$, where (18) corresponds to $\mathbf{E}[\min(1,\eta^2)\zeta] < \infty$. Following the construction from the proof of Theorem 4.1, the joint distribution of (η, ζ) can be constructed as follows. Denote $B_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} :\ |x| > 1\}$ and $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R} :\ 2^{-k} < |x| \leq 2^{-k+1}\}$, $k \geq 1$, let $q_k = \Lambda(B_k)$, $k \geq 0$, and choose strictly positive $\{c_k, k \geq 0\}$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_kq_k = 1$. Then, for every Borel $A \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\eta \in A, \zeta = c_k^{-1}\} = \Lambda(A \cap B_k)c_k \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{E}[\min(1,\eta^2)\zeta] = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \min(1,x^2)\Lambda(dx).$$

If ξ has bounded variation, then Theorem 4.4 applies and

$$\xi(t) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} ct + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \eta_i\mathbf{1}_{t\zeta_i\geq\Gamma_i}$$

provides a LePage representation of ξ, cf. [24] for the LePage representation of Lévy processes on $[0,1]$. The choice of $\varepsilon(t) = \eta\mathbf{1}_{t\geq 1}$, $t \geq 0$, yields the compound Poisson process $\xi(t)$, which becomes the standard Poisson process if $\eta = 1$ a. s.

Note that the time and the size of the jump of ε may be dependent. For instance, let $\varepsilon(t) = \eta\mathbf{1}_{t\geq\eta}$ for a positive random variable η. This random function always satisfies (20) and yields the Lévy process

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \eta_i\mathbf{1}_{t\geq\Gamma_i\eta_i}$$

with the cumulant $\Psi(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}[(1 - e^{\imath\lambda\eta})\eta^{-1}]$.

Example 4.10. If $\varepsilon(t) = \eta t^{1/\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and η is a symmetric random variable with $\mathbf{E}|\eta|^\alpha < \infty$, then the LePage series (19) converges a. s. by [25, Th. 1.4.2] and $\xi(t) = ct + \zeta t^{1/\alpha}$ for a symmetric α-stable random variable ζ, see Example 2.4. In case $\alpha < 1$, the symmetry of η is not required for the convergence of the LePage series and ζ is strictly α-stable by [25, Th. 1.4.5].

Example 4.11. Choosing ε to be a stochastic process with stationary increments yields examples of time-stable processes with stationary increments which are not Lévy processes. For instance, let ε be the fractional Brownian motion with the Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then (18) holds, since

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^1 \min(1, \varepsilon(t)^2) t^{-2} dt \le \int_0^1 \mathbf{E}\varepsilon(t)^2 t^{-2} dt = \int_0^1 t^{2H-2} dt < \infty\,.$$

Example 4.12. Let $\varepsilon(t) = \xi(t^{1/\alpha})$, $t \ge 0$, for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a time-stable process ξ such that $\mathbf{E}|\xi(1)| < \infty$, so that (20) holds, since

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{E}|\xi(t^{1/\alpha})| t^{-2} dt = \mathbf{E}|\xi(1)| \int_0^1 t^{1/\alpha - 2} dt < \infty.$$

By conditioning on $\{\Gamma_i\}$ and using Proposition 2.1, one obtains that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \varepsilon_i(\Gamma_i^{-1} t) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i(\Gamma_i^{-1/\alpha} t^{1/\alpha}) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} \xi(t^{1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \Gamma_i^{-1/\alpha}) = \xi(t^{1/\alpha}\zeta)$$

for a strictly α-stable non-negative random variable ζ independent of ξ. In this case the LePage series yields a representation of a sub-stable process from Example 2.5.

Example 4.13. Let ξ be a non-decreasing time-stable process that admits the LePage representation (19) with $c = 0$. If $\{X_i, i \ge 1\}$ are i. i. d. copies of a Lévy process X independent of ξ, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i(\varepsilon_i(\Gamma_i^{-1} t))$$

is the LePage representation of the time-stable process $X(\xi(t))$ from Example 2.6. This is seen by conditioning upon ε_i and Γ_i, $i \ge 1$, and noticing that X is stochastically continuous.

Example 4.14. Consider $\varepsilon(t) = (t^\beta - 1)_+$, i. e. the positive part of $(t^\beta - 1)$, where $\beta \ge 1$. If $\beta = 1$, then the graph of ξ is a continuous convex broken line with vertices at $(0,0)$ and at

$$(\Gamma_n, \Gamma_n \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i^{-1} - n)\,, \quad n \ge 1\,.$$

In order to obtain a differentiable curve, it is possible to use $\varepsilon(t) = (t-1)_+^\beta$ for $\beta > 1$.

5 Time-stable step functions

Assume that ξ is a *pure jump* time-stable process, i. e. its paths are càdlàg piecewise constant functions with finitely many jumps in each bounded Borel subset of $[0,\infty)$ and a. s. vanishing at zero. In view of the assumed stochastic continuity and [27, Lemma 1.6.2], the jump times of ξ have non-atomic distributions. The pure jump part of any càdlàg time-stable process is also time-stable. This applies to the process of jumps larger than $\delta > 0$ in absolute value by noticing that the jump part of the sum of two independent stochastic processes with non-atomic distribution of jump times is equal to the sum of their jump parts.

Let $\mathcal{M}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})$ denote the family of marked point configurations on $(0,\infty)$ with marks from $\mathbb{R}$. A *marked point process* is a random element in $\mathcal{M}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})$, see [4, Sec. 6.4]. The successive ordered jump times $\{\tau_k\}$ and the jump heights $\{m_k\}$ of a pure jump time-stable process ξ form the marked point process $M = \{(\tau_k, m_k), k \geq 1\}$, so that

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{\tau_k \leq t} m_k\,, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

The sum is finite for every t, since the process is assumed to have only a finite number of jumps in any bounded interval. This construction introduces a correspondence between pure-jump processes and marked point processes. Note that M is a random closed (and locally finite) set in $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$, see [20]. If convenient, we treat M as a random counting measure, so that $M(A\times B)$ equals the number of pairs (τ_k, m_k) that lie in $A\times B$ for Borel $A\subset(0,\infty)$ and $B\subset\mathbb{R}$. Note that $M(A\times\mathbb{R})<\infty$ for all bounded Borel $A\subset(0,\infty)$. The process ξ is compound Poisson if and only if M is an independently marked homogeneous Poisson process, i. e. the jump times form a homogenous Poisson process on $(0,\infty)$, while the jump sizes are i. i. d. random variables independent of the jump times.

Proposition 5.1. *The time of the first jump of a càdlàg time-stable process, as well as the time of the first jump of size at least a given $\delta > 0$ have an exponential distribution.*

Proof. Observe that the time of the first jump of the sum of n independent processes equals the minimum of the first jump times $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n$ of all summands. Then (1) yields that $n^{-1}\tau$ has the same distribution as the minimum of n i. i. d. copies of τ and so characterises the exponential distribution. The same argument applies to the first jump of size at least $\delta > 0$. ☐

The time of the second jump is not necessarily distributed as the sum of two independent exponential random variables, since the times between jumps may be dependent and even the gap between the first and the second jump is no longer exponentially distributed in general.

Example 5.2. Let N be the standard Poisson process, and let ξ be an independent increasing time-stable process. Then $N(\xi(t))$ is a pure jump time-stable process, see Example 2.6. In particular, the time τ of its first jump is exponentially distributed and $\tau = \inf\{t:\ \xi(t)\geq\zeta\}$ for the exponentially distributed random variable ζ independent of ξ.

Scaling the argument of a pure jump process ξ can be rephrased in terms of scaling the marked point process M corresponding to ξ, so that $a \circ \xi$ corresponds to the marked point process

$$a^{-1} \circ M = \{(a^{-1}\tau_k, m_k) :\ k \geq 1\}) \,.$$

The sum of independent pure jump processes corresponds to the superposition of the corresponding marked point processes. The next result relates the time stability property to the union-stability of random sets (see [20, Sec. 4.1.3]); it immediately follows from (1).

Proposition 5.3. *A stochastically continuous pure jump process ξ is time-stable if and only if its corresponding marked point process M is a union-stable random closed set in the sense that*

$$M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_n \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} n^{-1} \circ M \tag{21}$$

for each $n \geq 2$, where $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ are independent copies of M.

Corollary 5.4. *A stochastically continuous pure jump process ξ is time-stable if and only if $\xi = \xi_+ - \xi_-$ for the pair of stochastically continuous pure jump processes (ξ_+, ξ_-) that form a pure jump time-stable process with values in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$.*

Proof. For $(\tau, m) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ let $f(\tau, m) = (\tau, m_+, m_-)$, with m_+ and m_- being the positive and negative parts of $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then M satisfies (21) if and only if $f(M)$ satisfies the analogue of (21) with the scaling along the first coordinate. Finally, this property of $f(M)$ is a reformulation of the time-stability of (ξ_+, ξ_-), where ξ_+ is the sum of all positive jumps of ξ and ξ_- is the sum of all negative jumps. ☐

Theorem 5.5. *A stochastically continuous pure jump process ξ is time-stable if and only if*

$$\xi(t) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i(\Gamma_i^{-1} t), \tag{22}$$

where $\{\Gamma_i\}$ form a homogeneous unit intensity Poisson point process on $(0, \infty)$, and $\{\varepsilon_i, i \geq 1\}$ are independent copies of a random step function ε which is independent of $\{\Gamma_i\}$ and satisfies (20).

Proof. Sufficiency is immediate and follows from Theorem 4.4. For the necessity, consider the map f from the proof of Corollary 5.4 and note that $f(M)$ is an infinitely divisible point process on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2_+$. It is well known (see e.g. [5, Th. 10.2.V]) that such infinitely divisible marked point process can be represented as a superposition of point configurations that build a Poisson point process on $\mathcal{M}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2_+)$. The unique intensity measure $\tilde{Q}$ of this Poisson process is called the KLM measure of M. This measure can also be viewed as the Lévy measure, see [6, Cor. 6.9].

Each point configuration from $\mathcal{M}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2_+)$ corresponds to a pure jump function. The push-forward of $\tilde{Q}$ under this correspondence is the Lévy measure of (ξ_+, ξ_-) that is supported by pure jump (and so càdlàg) functions. Since the components of (ξ_+, ξ_-) are non-negative, Remark 4.8 yields its representation as

$$(\xi_+(t), \xi_-(t)) \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_i'(\Gamma_i^{-1} t), \varepsilon_i''(\Gamma_i^{-1} t)) \,,$$

so that ξ admits the series representation (22) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - \varepsilon''$. ☐

Remark 5.6. In the classical LePage series for random vectors, it is possible to scale the directional component to bring its norm to 1. However, it is not possible in general to rescale the argument of $\{\varepsilon_i, i \geq 1\}$ from (22) in order to ensure that each function has the first jump at time 1.

Remark 5.7. It is possible to derive Theorem 5.5 from the LePage representation of the marked point process M as the union of clusters corresponding to the Poisson cluster process determined by $\tilde{Q}$. The corresponding series representation then becomes

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i \circ E_i,$$

where $\{E_i, i \geq 1\}$ is a point process on $\mathcal{M}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ with the intensity measure $\tilde{Q}$.

If ε has a single jump only, then (22) yields a Lévy process, see Example 4.9.

Example 5.8. Let $\varepsilon(t) = [t]$ be the integer part of t. Then

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N(t/k)\,,$$

where $N(t)$ is the Poisson process. For every $t \geq 0$, the series consists of a finite number of summands and so converges almost surely. Note that $\xi(t)$ is not integrable for $t > 0$. The jump sizes of ξ are always one, and the jump times form a point process on $\mathbb{R}_+$ obtained as the superposition of the set of natural numbers scaled by Γ_i, $i \geq 1$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Zakhar Kabluchko and Rolf Riedi for discussions and hints at various stages of this work. The second author is grateful to Steve Evans for discussions concerning general LePage series. The second author was partially supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant 200021-153597.

References

[1] M. Barczy, P. Kern, and G. Pap. Dilatively stable stochastic processes and aggregate similarity. *Aequationes mathematicae*, 2014. DOI 10.1007/s00010-014-0318-y.

[2] A. Basse-O'Connor and J. Rosiński. On the uniform convergence of random series in Skorohod space and representation of càdlàg infinitely divisible processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 41:4317–4341, 2013.

[3] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels. *Regular Variation.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[4] D. J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones. *An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes. Vol. I.* Springer, New York, second edition, 2003.

[5] D. J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones. *An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes. Vol. II.* Springer, New York, second edition, 2008.

[6] Y. Davydov, I. Molchanov, and S. Zuyev. Strictly stable distributions on convex cones. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 13:259–321, 2008.

[7] P. Embrechts and M. Maejima. *Selfsimilar Processes.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2002.

[8] K. Es-sebaiy and Y. Ouknine. How rich is the class of processes which are infinitely divisible with respect to time? *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 78:537–547, 2008.

[9] S. N. Evans and I. Molchanov. The semigroup of metric measure spaces and its infinitely divisible measures. Technical report, ArXiv math:1401.7052. To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2014.

[10] I. I. Gihman and A. V. Skorokhod. *The Theory of Stochastic Processes I.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

[11] A. Hakassou and Y. Ouknine. A note on α-IDT processes. Technical report, ArXiv Math: 1207.0874, 2012.

[12] A. Hakassou and Y. Ouknine. IDT processes and associated Lévy processes. *International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*, 85:1073–1111, 2013.

[13] E. Iglój and M. Barczy. Path properties of dilatively stable processes and singularity of their distributions. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 30:831–848, 2012.

[14] Z. Kabluchko and S. Stoev. Minimal spectral representations of infinitely divisible and max-infinitely divisible processes. *Bernoulli*, 2015. To appear. ArXiv Math:1207.4983.

[15] I. Kaj. Limiting fractal random processes in heavy-tailed systems. In J. Levy-Lehel and E. Lutton, editors, *Fractals in Engineering, New Trends in Theory and Applications*, pages 199–217. Springer, London, 2005.

[16] R. LePage, M. Woodroofe, and J. Zinn. Convergence to a stable distribution via order statistics. *Ann. Probab.*, 9:624–632, 1981.

[17] D. B. Madan, P. P. Carr, and E. C. Chang. The variance gamma process and option pricing. *Europ. Finance Rev.*, 2:79–105, 1998.

[18] R. Mansuy. On processes which are infinitely divisible with respect to time. ArXiv math:0504408, 2005.

[19] G. Maruyama. Infinitely divisible processes. *Theor. Probab. Appl.*, 15:1–23, 1970.

[20] I. Molchanov. *Theory of Random Sets.* Springer, London, 2005.

[21] M. D. Penrose. Semi-min-stable processes. *Ann. Prob.*, 20:1450–1463, 1992.

[22] J. Rosiński. On path properties of certain infinitely divisible processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 33:73–87, 1989.

[23] J. Rosiński. On series representation of infinitely divisible random vectors. *Ann. Probab.*, 18:405–430, 1990.

[24] J. Rosiński. Series representations of Lévy processes from the perspective of point processes. In *Lévy processes*, pages 401–415. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.

[25] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu. *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes: Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance.* Chapman & Hall, New York, 1994.

[26] K.-I. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[27] D. S. Silvestrov. *Limit Theorems for Randomly Stopped Stochastic Processes.* Springer, London, 2004.